2017 Mar-16 PM 03:48 U.S. DISTRICT COURT N.D. OF ALABAMA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA MIDDLE DIVISION

ABDIWELI MOHAMED ABDULLAHI,	
Petitioner,)
v.) Case Number: 4:16-cv-00455-VEH-JHE
SECRETARY JOHN F. KELLY, et al.,)
Respondents.)

MEMORANDUM OPINION

On March 21, 2016, Petitioner Abdiweli Mohamed Abdullahi ("Abdullahi") filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. (Doc. 1). At the time he filed his petition, Abdullahi, a native of Somalia, was incarcerated at the Etowah County Detention Center, in the custody of the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE"). In his petition, Abdullahi alleged that he was being illegally detained by ICE pending his deportation to Somalia. On March 9, 2017, Abdullahi was deported to Somalia. (Doc. 29 at 1; doc. 29-1 at 1). Respondents have filed a motion to dismiss the action as moot, since Abdullahi is no longer in ICE custody. (Doc. 29). For the reasons stated below, Respondents' motion will be granted and the action be dismissed as moot.¹

Article III of the Constitution limits the jurisdiction of federal courts to the consideration of "cases or controversies." U.S. CONST. art. III, § 2. The doctrine of mootness is derived from this limitation because "an action that is moot cannot be characterized as an active case or controversy." *Adler v. Duval Cnty. Sch. Bd.*, 112 F.3d 1475, 1477 (11th Cir. 1997). A case is

¹In addition to his petition, Abdullahi has filed two motions to expedite, (docs. 13 & 27), and a motion for a hearing on his petition, (doc. 21). Because Abdullahi's petition is due to be dismissed as moot, those motions are **DENIED AS MOOT**.

moot and must be dismissed if the court can no longer provide "meaningful relief." *Nyaga v. Ashcroft*, 323 F.3d 906, 913 (11th Cir. 2003) (citations omitted). Abdullahi's release from ICE custody rendered his petition moot.

The relief sought by Abdullahi in his petition is to be released from ICE custody. Because Abdullahi is no longer in ICE custody, his petition has been rendered moot, unless an exception to the mootness doctrine applies. There are two exceptions to the mootness doctrine: (1) collateral consequences and (2) "capable of repetition yet evading review." Carafas v. LaVallee, 391 U.S. 234, 237 (1968); Murphy v. Hunt, 455 U.S. 478, 482 (1982). Neither exception applies here. The collateral consequences exception does not apply because there are no "disabilities or burdens which may flow" from the custody that Abdullahi challenges. See Carafas, 391 U.S. at 237. The exception for events "capable of repetition, yet evading review" does not apply here either. Petitioner has been deported and is no longer in custody, and the potential circumstances of this case happening again are too speculative to create an actual controversy sufficient to support a claim for relief. See Weinstein v. Bradford, 423 U.S. 147, 149 (1975) (holding that the "capable of repetition, yet evading review" exception applies when (1) the challenged action is too short in duration to be fully litigated prior to its cessation or expiration, and (2) there is a reasonable expectation that the same complaining party would be subjected to the same action again.). Because there is no longer any relief that can be granted to Abdullahi, his petition is due to be dismissed as moot.

Based on the foregoing, the Respondents' motion to dismiss, (doc. 29), is **GRANTED**. A separate order will be entered.

DONE this 16th day of March, 2017.

VIRGINIA EMERSON HOPKINS

United States District Judge